IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA DIVISION

CHARLES W. CLACKLEY, J	M SEP 18 P 1: 43
Plaintiff,	PRAF, MAC) TECHK LETTEL DOUGH BULL DISTROCT ALL
v.) Civil Action No. 1:07cv646-MEF
UNITED STATES OF AMERI	CA) Admiralty and Maritime Claim
(U.S. Military Sealift Command	,
AMERICAN OVERSEAS MA	ZINE)
CORP.,) Filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1916
) permitting seaman to file suit
Defendants.) without pre-payment of costs

ANSWER OF AMERICAN OVERSEAS MARINE CORPORATION

Comes now American Overseas Marine Corporation and makes this its Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint:

- 1. This Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of whether or not Plaintiff is a resident of the City of Dothan in Houston County, Alabama. This Defendant admits that Plaintiff was employed at various times as a seaman and member of the crew of the USNS STOCKHAM by American Overseas Marine Corporation, which operated said vessel under a contract with the U.S. Military Sealift Command. All allegations inconsistent with the foregoing admissions are denied.
- 2. This Defendant admits that the USNS STOCKHAM is a cargo vessel owned by The United States of America in the service of the U.S. Military Sealift

Command. Any remaining allegations or allegations inconsistent with the foregoing admission are denied.

- This Defendant admits that the United States of America is a sovereign 3. power and the Plaintiff's action against it must proceed pursuant to the Suits in Admiralty Act, 46 U.S.C. 30901 et seq., and/or the Public Vessels Act, 46 U.S.C. §31101 et seq. This Defendant admits that service of process may be had on the U. S. by serving a copy of the Complaint upon the United States Attorney for the Middle District of Alabama, and by mailing a copy of the Complaint by certified mail to the Attorney General of the United States. Any remaining allegations or allegations inconsistent with the foregoing admissions are denied.
- 4. This Defendant admits that the causes of action set forth in the Complaint are governed by the Suits in Admiralty Act, Public Vessels Act, the Jones Act, 46 U.S.C. §30104 and the general maritime law of the United States since Plaintiff was a seaman and member of the crew of the USNS STOCKHAM in the capacity of electrician. Any allegations inconsistent with the foregoing admission is denied.
- 5. This Defendant is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations set forth in paragraph 5 of the Complaint.
 - 6. This Defendant denies the allegations in paragraph 6.
- This Defendant is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the 7. truth of the allegations in paragraph 7 of the Complaint except that this Defendant admits that Plaintiff was a member of the crew of the USNS STOCKHAM on July 25, 2005.
 - 8. This Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 8.

- This Defendant is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the 9. truth of the allegations in paragraph 9 of the Complaint.
 - 10. This Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 10.
- This Defendant is without information sufficient to form a belief as to the 11. truth of the allegations of paragraph 11 except this Defendant admits that Plaintiff was a member of the crew of the USNS STOCKHAM on February 12, 2006.
 - 12. This Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 12.
- 13. This Defendant is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations of paragraph 13.
 - This Defendant denies the allegations of paragraph 14. 14.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant avers that if Plaintiff sustained any injury, which is denied, said injury was in no way caused or contributed to by any act, fault or negligence on the part of this Defendant or by those for whom this Defendant is responsible or as a result of any condition of any vessel owned or operated by this Defendant.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant avers that Plaintiff's injuries, if any, which are denied, are due to causes for which this Defendant is not responsible.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

If Plaintiff sustained damages by reason of the matters alleged in his complaint, which is denied, then said damages were caused in whole or in part by Plaintiff's own negligence and fault or in any manner by any fault or negligence of American Overseas Marine Corporation, its officers, agents, general agents, vessels, crew, servants, employees or others for whom it was responsible.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Any injuries sustained or suffered by Plaintiff at the time and place on the occasions mentioned in the Complaint were caused in whole or in part, or were contributed to, by the negligence, fault or want of care of Plaintiff and not by any negligence or fault or want of care on the part of this Defendant.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claim is barred by the statute of limitations.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The duty of maintaining the area where the Plaintiff alleges he was injured was solely a duty which Plaintiff owed to this Defendant, therefore, Plaintiff's failure to carry out his duty to keep the area properly maintained, bars the Plaintiff from recovery.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff was injured as a result of the normal hazards or risks of the business in which he was engaged, without fault on the part of this Defendant or anyone for whom it was responsible nor as a result of any condition of any vessel owned or operated by this Defendant.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant avers that if Plaintiff sustained any injury, which is denied, said injury was caused by the negligence or fault of others over whom this Defendant has no right of control or for whose acts this Defendant is not responsible.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant avers that if Plaintiff sustained any injury, which is denied, said injury was caused by the superseding or intervening acts of negligence of other parties over whom this Defendant has no control and for whose acts this Defendant is not responsible.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Defendant avers that if Plaintiff sustained any injury, which is denied, said injury is a result of a condition or conditions of the Plaintiff's body pre-existing the date of Plaintiff's alleged injury, for which this Defendant is not responsible.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff has failed to mitigate his damages.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Pursuant to 46 U.S.C. 30904, American Overseas Marine Corporation is an improper party to this litigation.

> Leura Garrett Canary United States Attorney Stephen R. Campbell Senior Trial Counsel Torts Branch, Civil Division U.S. Department of Justice P. O. Box 14271 Washington, D. C. 20044-4271 Telephone: (202) 616-4026 E-mail: stephen.campbell@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States

Thomas S. Rue (RUETH8241)

Filed 09/18/2007

Trial Attorney

Johnstone, Adams, Bailey, Gordon & Harris, L.L.C.

P. O. Box 1988

Mobile, Alabama 36633 Telephone: (251) 441-9203

Facsimile: (251) 432-2800

E-mail: tsr@johnstoneadams.com

Of Counsel to the United States

Thom (Ru

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have on this 17 day of September, 2007 served a copy of the foregoing pleading via United States first class mail, properly addressed and postage prepaid on the following:

> Ross Diamond, III, Esq. Diamond, Hasser & Frost, LLP P. O. Drawer 40600 Mobile, Alabama 36640-0600

360934.doc